Analysis of Propagation Characteristics in Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) System
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Abstract—Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) has gained great attention to the spread of communication in civilian and military applications. UAV communication channel has its own characteristics compared to cellular and satellite systems, which are widely used. Thus, an accurate channel characterization is very important to optimize the performance and design of an efficient UAV communication system. However, several challenges exist in UAV channel modeling. For example, channel propagation characteristics of UAVs are still less explored. Therefore, this research discusses the propagation characteristics of UAV communication systems. Due to the limitation of the measurement tools, the propagation characteristics identified in this research was the pathloss coefficient value and optimum height based on the value of Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) measurement results at different distance and heights. The link communication used 433 MHz telemetry. The results of pathloss coefficient at heights of 10 m, 20 m, and 30 m are 1.56 m, 1.77 m, and 1.99 m. While the results of the optimum height of 10 m, 20 m, and 30 m are 1.39 m, 1.32 m, and 1.47 m.
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fading, interference, etc., which results in large fluctuations in the received power [16], [17].

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

A. Propagation

Radio wave propagation can be categorized into two, namely propagation in space (indoor) and outdoor propagation (outdoor) [18]. All the basic radio propagation modeling is called the free space propagation model. Free space propagation is when the transmitter and receiver are not blocked by anything. Free space propagation serves to estimate the Gain from the signal at the receiver [19]. The basic mechanism of propagation is shown in Fig. 1, which describes the occurrence of reflection, diffraction, and scattering [20].

![Fig. 1 Radio wave propagation mechanism.](image)

Pathloss communication from Air to Ground is calculated using propagation modeling. This propagation model is a modification of the free space pathloss formula by using distance and height to measure propagation in UAV. There are two variations of propagation modeling, namely dynamic UAV and static UAV. The propagation modeling formula for static UAV [21], [22] is as Eq. (1).

$$PL(d) = PLo + 10n \log_{10} \left( \frac{d}{d_0} \right) + 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{h_{opt}}{h_{gnd}} \right) + 10 \log_{10} c \cdot S$$  

Where $PLo$ is the pathloss reference from the reference of distance; $n$ is the pathloss exponent value; $h_{opt}$ got from $h_{gnd}$ - $h_{opt}$, $h_{gnd}$, is the height of receiver on the ground, while $h_{opt}$ is the minimum height of receiver which can give a small pathloss; $Cp$ got from $10 \log_{10} Cp > 0 dB$, is constant loss factors due to foliage and losses due to antenna orientation on the UAV and S is Shadowing variable. The propagation modeling formula for dynamic UAV [23], [24] is shown in Eq. (2).

$$PL(d) = PLo + 10n \log_{10} \left( \frac{d}{d_0} \right) - 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{h_{opt}}{h_{gnd}} \right) + 10 \log_{10} c \cdot S$$

$PL(d)$ is the transmitted frequency, while $f_e$ got from $f_e + \Delta f$, is the observed frequency at the receiver and $x$ is the pathloss factor frequency. At the speed of less than 10 m / s, a factor of $10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{(f_e + \Delta f)}{f_e} \right)$ is negligible.

B. Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI)

The Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) measures the received radio signal power amount in telecommunications. A user from the receiving device can see RSSI. Due to the unit of RSSI in the Mission Planner software point, it is converted into RSSI dBm signal [25] with the following formula in Eq. (3).

$$\text{signal dBm} = \left( \frac{\text{RSSI}}{1.9} \right) - 127$$

C. Linear Regression

Simple linear regression is based on one independent variable's functional relationship or causal with one dependent variable. The general equation of simple linear regression is seen in Eq. (4) and (5) [26]:

$$Y = a + bX$$

$$a = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i x_i - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right) \right) / \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right)^2 \right)$$

$$b = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i x_i - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right) \right) / \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right)^2 \right)$$

$Y$ is the predicted dependent variable, $X$ is the independent variable with a certain value, while $a$ is a constant, and $b$ is the regression coefficient $X$ to $Y$. In this research, the testing was done by the state without any obstacle (Line of Sight), and the hexacopter used a maximum speed of 5m/s. Then according to Eq. (2), the Doppler effect, factor foliage loss, and shadowing can be ignored. The linear regression equation in this research is indicated an equation with propagation modeling such as Eq. (6).

$$PL = PL(d0) - 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{h_{gnd}}{h_{opt}} \right) + 10n \left( \log_{10} \frac{d}{d_0} \right)$$

The variable $Y$ is $PL$, and the variable $a$ is $PL(d0) - 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{h_{gnd}}{h_{opt}} \right)$ and the variable $bX$ is $10n \left( \log_{10} \frac{d}{d_0} \right)$. In Eq. (6) above, $\Delta h$ is equal to $h_{gnd} - h_{opt}$, $h_{gnd}$ is the height of the receiver on the ground, $h_{opt}$ is the minimum height which can give a small value of RSSI, equation $a$ is as a reference distance pathloss subtracted by the height while $b$ is $10n$ and $X$ is logarithmic distance to the reference distance of $log_{10} \left( \frac{d}{d_0} \right)$.

Thus, finding pathloss exponent is done by using the formula of $b/10$. After searching for the pathloss exponent, then seek the optimum height of the equation $a$. This is how to seek for $h_{opt}$ using Eq. (7).

$$Hopt = \frac{h_{gnd}}{PL(d0) - a} + 1$$

Fig. 2 is an overview of the parameters used for finding the pathloss coefficient value as well as optimum height.
D. System Design

The flight controller PXHAWK was used as a main board for hexacopter controller. Hexacopter has two communication links between sky surfer with radio control at 2.4 GHz and telemetry data at 433 MHz. This system is shown below in Fig. 3.

Radio control gives orders to the hexacopter analogously, while the telemetry functions provide the appropriate waypoint commands for autopilot drawn on the Mission Planner via serial USB. In Fig. 4, as we were going to fly the hexacopter, we had to connect the telemetries in advance so that the air and ground telemetry could communicate. By those telemetries, the hexacopter will fly according to the waypoint mission. From the waypoint, 433 MHz will transmit the telemetry data such as height, distance, and RSSI shown on the Ground Control Station. The telemetry's RSSI value will vary according to the distance and height changes.

E. Testing Scenario

Flight planning is designed to facilitate the orientation in data retrieval [27]. The flight planning was made using the software Mission Planner 3.1.56. The testing scenario would be carried out in a vacant lot near Villa Mulawarman, Semarang, Indonesia, because the area is flat and wide. There are no distractions, such as large buildings and trees, so it is feasible to take the data in the Line of Sight way. The waypoint was made at 10, 20, 30, and 40 m with a flight range of 80 m and a constant speed of 5 m/s.
The Home in Fig. 5, as shown with the letter “H” in the dashed white line, serves as the Ground Control Station (GCS). The data collection was performed by observing changes in the Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) value based on distance and height depending on the Telemetry Logs. Fig. 6 is an overview of the testing scenario in this research.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Result of Working System Testing

The test result includes the result of the radiation pattern in the telemetry antenna 433 MHz, the average signal strength (Value A), and the working distance test result. Telemetry antenna 433 MHz is omnidirectional shaped. After obtaining the data, the next step is calculating the average RSSI at each corner and making a chart in Excel. Thus, it obtained the telemetry antenna radiation pattern 433 MHz shown in Fig. 7.

The radiation pattern of the test results obtained the average signal strength (Value A). Fig. 8 shows the graph of RSSI (Received Signal Strength Indicator) values in each corner and the results of the average value in -55.61 dBm signal strength. RSSI indicates the signal level reception. This value determines whether the signal received is sufficient or not to access the wireless network. Regarding the level of RSSI, the value of -55.61 dBm is categorized very good signal [28].

The testing result of the working distance telemetry antenna 433 MHz on the ground test was 116.81 m with RSSI 57 (-97 dBm). In Fig. 9, it can be seen in the red box the signal reception that has been crossed, meaning that it is unable to receive the signal anymore.

B. Results of Data Collection

The data collection according to flight planning and scenario testing are outlined in point E. Testing Scenario. At the waypoint that has been drawn on a Mission Planner, Fig. 10 shows the results of the hexacopter flight path that has flown on the vacant lot in Villa Mulawarman. The UAV flew from the take-off point, shown by the red color. The circles in
green show the UAV position. The UAV took off and landed in the same place. The UAV runs autonomously following the flight path. It is possible for the telemetry functions to command the autopilot according to the flight path drawn in Mission Planner.

**C. Results and Analysis at Height 10 m**

From the test result at the height of 10 m, the data required was the RSSI as \( Y \) and logarithmic distance to the reference distance \( \log \frac{d}{d_0} \) as \( X \). Then, the value of \( X \) and \( Y \) were incorporated into the linear regression that was created. It eventually displayed the result of the equation \( Y = a + bx \). From the value of \( a \), we could find the optimum height (\( h_{opt} \)) and the value of \( b \), we could find the value of \( n \) (coefficient pathloss). The linear regression equation indicated the propagation modeling used in this research, which can be seen in Eq. (6).

According to Eq. (6), \( n \) values (coefficient pathloss) is \( b / 10 \). Then the value of \( n \) (coefficient pathloss) at a height of 20 m is 1.77. The \( PL (d_{0}) \) result is -55.61, and the \( hgnd \) is 1.5 m. The equation was entered to find the optimum height value, and the result of the computation obtained optimum height (\( h_{opt} \)) value of 1.3227 m.

**D. Testing Result and Analysis at Height 20 m**

The linear regression equation indicated to the modeling of propagation used in this research can be seen in Eq. (6). Fig. 12. is the result of a linear regression graph at a height of 20 m. The graph obtained the equation of \( Y = [-46.8837] + [17.7101] X \).

**E. Testing Result and Analysis at Height 30 m**

The linear regression equation that indicates the propagation modeling used in this research can be seen in Eq. (6). Fig. 13 is the result of a linear regression graph at a height of 30 m. The graph obtained equation \( Y = [-38.3799] + [19.9757] X \).
So, according to the Eq. (6), n value (coefficient pathloss) is \( b / 10 \) then the value of \( n \) (coefficient pathloss) at a height of 30 m is 1.99. The result of \( PL(d_{oa}) \) is -55.61 the \( hg_{nd} \) is 1.5 m. The calculation of finding the optimum height values was done by entering the equations and the result of the computation obtained \( h_{opt} = 1.4721 \) m.

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Linear Regression Equation</th>
<th>Pathloss Coefficient (n)</th>
<th>Optimum Height (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 m</td>
<td>( Y = -44.5862 + 15.6576x )</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.3911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 m</td>
<td>( Y = -46.8337 + 17.7101x )</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.3227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 m</td>
<td>( Y = -38.3799 + 19.9757x )</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.4721</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows that the coefficient of pathloss increases along with the increasing height. Pathloss coefficient strongly influences the quality decrease of a communication link [29]–[31]. The contours of the terrain and environmental condition also influence pathloss coefficient. In terms of data collection in this research is done on the condition of Line of Sight or no obstacles at all, the pathloss coefficient value obtained is not too large. Optimum height is the minimum height to put the receiver antenna on the ground. Fig. 14 shows that the result is less than 1.5 m and the RSSI value of the testing result is 121 (-63.32 dBm).

![Fig. 14 RSSI results by distance <1.5 m](image)

The value of RSSI either in 10 m, 20m or 30 m resulted in -55.61 dBm. This value is categorized as very good. So that the signal reception can run well enough to get a good wireless connection. Radio link can be considered good when the RSSI is >-115 dB, because the closer to 0 dBm, the better the signal is. Meanwhile, the value of pathloss coefficient is closer to the category of in building line of sight, as it is in the range of 1.6 – 1.8 [32]. Line of Sight (LoS) is the imagery line between the observer and the target. This condition creates a direct path of communication from a transmitter to the receiver. The Line of Sight condition is important in wireless network to create a high-speed communication [33].

Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) has been through a very long history in technological development as the invention of airplane. The increasingly popular UAV is important in terrain mapping, environment data collection, border monitoring, fire monitoring, etc. UAV can fly a few meters from the ground and acquire aerial data. However, it relies on the weather conditions. The UAV can have an unstable operation when the weather is not supportive. Related to the communication link in UAV, the effect of the environment, such as fading, can lead to signal degradation and influence the performance of the UAV itself. Especially in urban areas, vegetation has a significant impact because there are many scatterers [34], [35].

One important thing in UAV is radio propagation used in the network. The shadowing model can generate simulation results which is different from free space loss and two-ray ground models. The free space propagation model assumes the transmitter and the receiver is in space without obstacle. Meanwhile, the two-ray ground propagation model assumes two signal paths from the transmitter to the receiver. The shadowing model shows lower performance than those models in case of its throughput, packet loss rate and packet delays [36]. Therefore, determining the radio propagation model in UAV network is important as it relates to the results.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

Based on the observation, pathloss coefficient values (n) and the optimum height (hopt) at the height of 10 m are 1.56 and 1.39 m, while at the height of 20 m are 1.77 and 1.32 m and at the height of 30 m are 1.99 and 1.47 m. Pathloss coefficient has a very strong effect on the quality of a communication link and is affected by the terrain's contours and environmental conditions. RSSI is very varied along the flight because the signal is attenuated when hexacopter is rolling (rolled over). The farthest distance that a 433 MHz telemetry can cover is 116.81 m. The average value of telemetry signal strength at 433 MHz is -55.61 dBm. The telemetry communication signal 433 MHz will decrease the performance of the UAV itself. Especially in urban areas, vegetation has a significant impact because there are many scatterers [34], [35].
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